

locations in Texas except Midland (TA-W-31,704A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 30, 1994 through February 12, 1998, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of October 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97-31053 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-32,431]

#### **Shaneco Manufacturing Company a/k/a Amex Manufacturing Incorporated, El Paso, Texas; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 11, 1996, applicable to workers of Shaneco Manufacturing Company located in El Paso, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 1996 (61 FR 34875).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers at the subject firm produce miscellaneous sewn articles. Findings on review show that some of the workers have had their wages reported to the Unemployment Insurance tax account of Amex Manufacturing Incorporated. The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Shaneco Manufacturing Company who were affected by increased imports. Accordingly, the Department is amending the worker certification to include the workers of Amex Manufacturing Incorporated.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-32,431 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Shaneco Manufacturing Company, also known as Amex Manufacturing Incorporated, El Paso, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 23, 1995 through June 11, 1998, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 10th day of November 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97-31062 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33,725]

#### **Stanwood Mills, Incorporated, Slatington, Pennsylvania; Notice of Revised Determination on Reopening**

In response to a letter of October 28, 1997, from a company official requesting administrative reconsideration of the Department's denial of TAA for workers of the subject firm, the Department reopened its investigation for the former workers of Stanwood Mills, Incorporated.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on October 14, 1997, because the "contributed importantly" test of the Group Eligibility Requirements of the Trade Act was not met for workers at the subject firm. The workers produce greige goods. The denial notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The Department has new information showing that during the time period relevant to the investigation, a customer of Stanwood Mills, Incorporated increased import purchases of greige goods, while reducing purchases from the subject firm.

### Conclusion

After careful consideration of the new facts obtained on reopening, it is concluded that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with greige goods produced by the subject firm contributed importantly to the decline in sales and to the total or partial separation of workers of the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act of 1974, I make the following revised determination:

"All workers of Stanwood Mills, Incorporated, Slatington, Pennsylvania who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after July 30, 1996, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, D.C. this 17th day of November 1997.

**Grant D. Beale,**

*Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 97-31061 Filed 11-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-33,353]

#### **Technotrim, Incorporated Greencastle, Indiana; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated June 6, 1997, the peer counselor for TechnoTrim's dislocated worker group, hereafter referred to as the petitioners, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding worker eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance. The denial notice applicable to workers of the subject firm located in Greencastle, Indiana, was signed on May 20, 1997, and will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

Findings of the investigation showed that workers of TechnoTrim, Incorporated were engaged in employment related to the Production of automobile set covers. The petitioners assert that workers of the subject firm produced seat covers for pick-up trucks, not automobiles. The Department's reference to automobile seat covers in the final determination is intended to include light trucks.

The petitioners assert that production at the subject firm was shifted to Hyperion for 90 days so that the sewing machines could be shipped from Greencastle, Indiana to Mexico. The petitioners add that Hyperion is not a TechnoTrim plant but another domestic facility located in Lewisburg, Tennessee. The petitioners assert that the office equipment at Greencastle was